

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/797,744	ELY, ZACAY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest F. Karlsen.

(2) Maier Fenster.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 14, 19

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Fenster and stated that claim 1 was allowable and that a restriction would be made between a first invention defined by claims 1-13, a second invention defined by claims 14-18 and a third invention defined by claims 19 and 20 and claims 1-13 would be elected by prior presentation. The Examiner further indicated that nonelected claims 14-20 could be cancelled by Examiner's Amendment for being drawn to non-elected inventions and the application issued or a letter could be mailed giving Applicant 30 days to petition the restriction or otherwise respond. Mr. Fenster made a counter proposal to make claims 14-20 dependent on claim 1 so that claims 14-20 would include all of the limitations of an allowed claim. The proposal was accepted by the Examiner and is reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment. .


ERNEST KARLSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER